IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS Bldg./Room U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 P.O. BOX 1450 Organization__

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

USPTO MAIL CENTER

800S ₺ I 200A



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER







6	STATES PATENT	r and Trademark Office	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION 50.	FILES DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,719	PADEMA 1/21/2003	Don L. Bouressa	SRC014P	3174
38351 7.	590 08/01/2006		EXAM	INER
STEPHEN R. P.O. BOX 168	CHAPMAN		POPE, D.	ARYL C
CLEMSON, S	C 29633-0168		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2612	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	İ		
	10/719,719	BOURESSA, DON L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DARYL C. POPE	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address	į		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 •				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies flot	edelveu.			
·Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/2003</u> .	6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/719,719

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

ART REJECTION:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ricketts(5,218,344).
 - -- In considering claim 1, the claimed subject matter that is met by Ricketts includes:
- 1) the at least one first card reader means is met by the stationary transceivers(12);
 - 2) the base computer is met by the main computer(11);
- 3) the plurality of second card reader means is met by the transceivers(12) designated (B,C) as seen in figure 4;
- 4) the portable computer being in communication with the base computer is met by the local computer(15) in communication with the main computer as seen in figure 1.
 - Ricketts does not show:
- 1) the second card reader means being capable of wireless communication with the portable computer;

Art Unit: 2612

2) the portable computer being capable of processing, visually displaying, and generating printed displays of census data such that evacuation status of a facility is determined in real time.

With regards to the wireless communication of the second card reader means, Ricketts states that although connection between the transceivers and the computers are via hard wiring, Ricketts does suggest implementation of other communication means i.e. wireless communications(see: column 8 lines 28-33). Use of wireless communication means for communicating information is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate wireless communication between the transceivers(12) and the computer(15), since this would have reduced the cost of wiring in the facility by allowing wireless communication.

With regards to the communication between the portable computer and the base computer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to enable the portable computer(15) to process, display, and generate printed displays of census data transmitted from the base computer, since Ricketts already teaches that the computers include conventional PC's comprising processors, monitors, keyboards, and printers(see: column 6, lines 48-53), and as well that the main computer performs processing of ingress and egress data for the purpose of determining count data. Therefore, since the local computers(15), are an extension of the main computer(11), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate all of the functions of the main

Art Unit: 2612

computer into the local computers, so as to allow functions of the main computer to extend throughout the facility, without having the actual main computer at every location.

- -- With regards to claim 2, the examiner takes Official Notice that in the computer art, use of computers which communicate via telephone transmitters is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate telephone transmitters into the computers(11,15) of Ricketts, since Ricketts already suggests implementation of other suitable communication means, and therefore telephone transmitters would have provided a convention and reliable means for allowing communication between components in the system.
- -- With regards to claims 3-8, the facility being an office building, educational facility, health care facility, and vehicle including a ship is met, since the system of Ricketts is utilized in a hospital, school, correctional facility, or the like. Furthermore, it would have been obvious that the Ricketts suggests implementation in a vehicle including a ship, since a military ship would have constituted a military installation. As well, the facility being an office building would have also been met, since some correctional facilities constitute office buildings as well.
- -- With regards to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the computer to be capable of generating printed images of schematic diagrams of the facility, since the system already desires to monitor the location of units as seen by the schematic diagram of figure 2, and therefore

Art Unit: 2612

allowing the computer to print out those schematics would have allowed location information of specific personnel to be displayed via printed material.

- -- With regards to claims 10-11, although not specifically shown by Ricketts, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate independent power supplies into the computers and card reader means, since this would have prevented any single power outage to affect the entire system. Furthermore, the examiner takes official notice that in the power supply art, use of solar panels and drip charge devices for recharging and supplying power to devices is well known in the art, and therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate solar panels and drip charge devices into the computers and card reader means, since this would have alleviated the need for constant replacement of power supplies for the devices in the system.
 - -- Claim 12 recites subject matter that is met as discussed in claim 1 above.
 - -- Claim 13 recites subject matter that is met as discussed in claim 1 above.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is 571-272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MIKE HORABIK can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daryl C. Pope

June 23, 2006

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2612

Approved for use through 07/31/2008, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO Application Number unknown Filing Date 11/21/03 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE First Named Inventor Bouressa, D. STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Art Unit **nyanawa** (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Attorney Docket Number

			U. S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS	Ours relicion
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Document Number Number-Kind Code ² (# taxons)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Relevant Passages of Relevant
7./	<u> </u>	^{US-} 5.633.621	05/27/97	McDonald	
1		^{US-} 5,960,805	09/28/99	de la Huerga	
		^{US-} 6,211,781	04/03/01	McDonald	
		^{us-} 6,348,860	02/19/02	Davis, et al.	
V		^{us-} 6,356,841	03/12/02	Hammick, et al.	
		US-			
		US-			
		US-		·	
		US			
		US-			
		US-			
		US-			
	 	us			
	l	US-			
· .		US-			
		US-			
	1	US-			
•		US-			
		US-			

		FOREIGN	PATENT DOCU	MENTS		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages	
		Country Code ³ "Number ⁴ "Kind Code ⁵ (# known)	MM-DD-YYYY		Or Relevant Figures Appear	T°
	1					

EXAMNUER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not distinct is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the part document. Signal of document with the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO.

Date

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/719,719 Examiner DARYL C. POPE Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination BOURESSA, DON L. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,218,344	06-1993	Ricketts, James G.	340/573.4
•	В	US-4,538,056	08-1985	Young et al.	235/377
	С	US-7,019,644	03-2006	Barrie, Robert P.	340/539.13
•	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	j	US-			
	к	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Þ					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	×	

^{*}A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.